

Salina Area United Way  
Volunteer Reviewer Scoring Rubric for  
2018 - 2019 Grant Cycle Application

Collaboration Name \_\_\_\_\_  
 Volunteer Reviewer Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
 Salina Area United Way goal area: Education \_\_\_\_\_ Financial Stability \_\_\_\_\_ Health \_\_\_\_\_  
 2016 and 2017 Funded Program \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_ No  
 Mid-year report turned in on time \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_ No Year-end report turned in on time \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_ No  
 Attended Collaboration Training Series \_\_\_Yes \_\_\_ No

Criteria	Rating	Possible Points	Comments/Notes	Score
<b>1. Application Narrative</b>		<b>5</b>		
a. Application provides a clear understanding of program services/activities.	5			
<b>2. Alignment</b>		<b>5</b>		
a. The program clearly states its alignment with Salina Area United Way goals.	5			
<b>3. Collaboration</b>		<b>30</b>		
a. Collaboration demonstrates sharing of resources.	5			
b. Collaboration demonstrates sharing of responsibility.	5			
c. Collaboration demonstrates their collaboration by sharing results with each other.	5			
d. Collaboration clearly shows they have the expertise for proposed program.	5			
e. Collaboration has or will provide, the staff and resources from different sectors for proposed program.	5			
f. Collaboration has the ability to effectively accomplish the established outcomes.	5			
<b>4. Program Impact</b>		<b>25</b>		
a. Program identifies the root cause of the issue.	5			
b. Program clearly explains plans to address the root cause.	5			
c. Program outcome data predicts clear results.	5			
d. Program demonstrates that it will make a sustainable and measurable impact.	5			
e. A plan is specified to operate the program after this funding period.	5			
<b>5. Outcomes</b>		<b>25</b>		
a. Outcomes have a clear connection to the community.	5			
b. Outcomes demonstrate significant collective impact.	5			
c. Outcome data is collected by the collaboration.	5			
d. Collaboration has a plan to measure results consistently across all participants.	5			
e. Initial, Intermediate and Longer Term Outcomes are clearly defined.	5			
<b>6. Budget</b>		<b>10</b>		
a. Budget demonstrates responsible use of funds and generation of resources.	5			
b. Budget supports the program and achieves the desired results.	5			
<b>Total Points:</b>		<b>100 max</b>		

1 – Does not meet criteria  
4 – Meets criteria well

2 – Partially meets criteria  
5 – Meets criteria exceptionally well

3 – Meets criteria

Salina Area United Way  
Volunteer Reviewer Scoring Rubric for  
2018 - 2019 Grant Cycle Application

The Salina Area United Way Community Impact Grant will use a 100 point scoring system to review applications.

The scoring rubric is designed to collect scores and record notes.

Applications will be reviewed and scored, according to the criteria, by community volunteers representing 12 Sectors. Sectors are various groups that people in the larger community may be divided into for the purpose of representation.

Volunteers are instructed to score on the content of the grant application only.

	Criteria	Possible Points
1.	Application Narrative	5
2.	Alignment	5
3.	Collaboration	30
4.	Program Impact	25
5.	Outcomes	25
6.	Budget	10
	Total Points:	100 max

#### Points

- 1 – Does not meet criteria
- 2 – Partially meets criteria
- 3 – Meets criteria
- 4 – Meets criteria well
- 5 – Meets criteria exceptionally well